hereby amended to include the following areas among those areas determined to have been adversely affected by the catastrophe declared a major disaster by the President in his declaration of January 10, 1995:

Amador, Kern, Nevada, Riverside, Sacramento, San Bernardino, San Mateo, Shasta, Sutter, and Trinity Counties for Individual Assistance.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance No. 83.516, Disaster Assistance)

G. Clay Hollister,

Deputy Associate Director, Response and Recovery Directorate.

[FR Doc. 95-1486 Filed 1-19-95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6718-02-M

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

Societe Generale, et al.; Notice of Applications to Engage de novo in **Permissible Nonbanking Activities**

The companies listed in this notice have filed an application under § 225.23(a)(1) of the Board's Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.23(a)(1)) for the Board's approval under section 4(c)(8) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1843(c)(8)) and § 225.21(a) of Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.21(a)) to commence or to engage de novo, either directly or through a subsidiary, in a nonbanking activity that is listed in § 225.25 of Regulation Y as closely related to banking and permissible for bank holding companies. Unless otherwise noted, such activities will be conducted throughout the United States.

Each application is available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. Once the application has been accepted for processing, it will also be available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing on the question whether consummation of the proposal can "reasonably be expected to produce benefits to the public, such as greater convenience, increased competition, or gains in efficiency, that outweigh possible adverse effects, such as undue concentration of resources, decreased or unfair competition, conflicts of interests, or unsound banking practices." Any request for a hearing on this question must be accompanied by a statement of the reasons a written presentation would not suffice in lieu of a hearing, identifying specifically any questions of fact that are in dispute, summarizing the evidence that would be presented at a hearing, and indicating how the party commenting would be aggrieved by approval of the proposal.

Unless otherwise noted, comments regarding the applications must be received at the Reserve Bank indicated or the offices of the Board of Governors not later than February 2, 1995.

A. Federal Reserve Bank of New York (William L. Rutledge, Senior Vice President) 33 Liberty Street, New York, New York 10045:

1. Societe Generale, Paris France; to engage de novo through its subsidiary Societe Generale Financial Corp., New York, New York, in higher-residualvalue leasing activities to the extent permitted by, and subject to the limitations of, § 225.25(b)(5)(ii) of the Board's Regulation Y.

B. Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta (Zane R. Kelley, Vice President) 104 Marietta Street, N.W., Atlanta, Georgia 30303:

 Nashville Holding Company, Nashville, Georgia; to engage de novo in making, acquiring, or servicing loans or other extensions of credit pursuant to section 4(c)(8) of the Bank Holding Company Act and § 225.25(b)(1) of the Board's Regulation Y. The proposed activity will be conducted throughout the State of Georgia.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, January 13, 1995.

Jennifer J. Johnson,

Deputy Secretary of the Board. [FR Doc. 95–1434 Filed 1–19–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6210-01-F

Westdeutsche Landesbank Girozentrale; Acquisition of Company **Engaged in Permissible Nonbanking Activities**

The organization listed in this notice has applied under § 225.23(a)(2) or (f) of the Board's Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.23(a)(2) or (f)) for the Board's approval under section 4(c)(8) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1843(c)(8)) and § 225.21(a) of Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.21(a)) to acquire or control voting securities or assets of a company engaged in a nonbanking activity that is listed in § 225.25 of Regulation Y as closely related to banking and permissible for bank holding companies. Unless otherwise noted, such activities will be conducted throughout the United States.

The application is available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. Once the application has been accepted for processing, it will also be available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing on the question whether consummation of the proposal can "reasonably be expected to produce benefits to the public, such as greater convenience, increased competition, or gains in efficiency, that outweigh possible adverse effects, such as undue concentration of resources, decreased or unfair competition, conflicts of interests, or unsound banking practices." Any request for a hearing on this question must be accompanied by a statement of the reasons a written presentation would not suffice in lieu of a hearing, identifying specifically any questions of fact that are in dispute, summarizing the evidence that would be presented at a hearing, and indicating how the party commenting would be aggrieved by approval of the proposal.

Comments regarding the application must be received at the Reserve Bank indicated or the offices of the Board of Governors not later than February 2,

1995.

A. Federal Reserve Bank of New York (William L. Rutledge, Senior Vice President) 33 Liberty Street, New York, New York 10045:

1. Westdeutsche Landesbank Girozentrale, Dusseldorf, Germany; to acquire Interpayment Services Limited, New York, New York (ISL), and thereby engage in worldwide issuing, selling, redeeming, and refunding U.S. dollarand foreign currency-denominated traveler's checks, in processing of financial data; and providing compliance, accounting, training, and related management services to ISL's sales pursuant to § 225.25(b)(12) and (7) of the Board's Regulation Y.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, January 13, 1995.

Jennifer J. Johnson,

Deputy Secretary of the Board. [FR Doc. 95-1435 Filed 1-19-95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6210-01-F

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

Interagency Committee for Medical Records (ICMR); Cancellation and **Establishment of Medical Forms**

AGENCY: General Services Administration.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Standard Form 523B, Medical Record—Authorization for Tissue Donation is being cancelled and replaced by Optional Form 523B, Medical Record—Authorization for Tissue Donation. Most hospitals that offer tissue donations work with a regional consortium. The consortium usually has their own form. Therefore SF 523B is being cancelled and replaced by OF 523B allowing individual hospitals to use the consortium forms. The optional form is authorized for local reproduction. Upon request, a camera copy of OF 520 will be provided by the General Services Administration (CARM), Attn.: Barbara Williams, (202) 501–0581.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Barbara Williams, General Services Administration, (202) 501–0581.

Dated: January 12, 1995.

Theodore D. Freed,

Chief, Forms Management Branch. [FR Doc. 95–1458 Filed 1–19–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6820–34–M

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Office of the Secretary

Agency Forms Submitted to the Office of Management and Budget for Clearance

On Fridays, the Department of Health and Human Services, Office of the Secretary publishes a list of information collections it has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance in compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). The following are those information collections recently submitted to OMB.

1. Applicant Background Survey— This form will be used to ask applicants for employment how they learned about a vacancy, to make sure that recruitment sources yield qualified women, minority and handicapped applicants in compliance with EEOC Management Directives

Respondents: Individuals; Annual Number of

Respondents: 310,000; Annual Frequency of Response: one time; Average Burden per Response: 2 minutes;

Total Annual Burden: 10,333 hours. OMB Desk Officer: Allison Eydt.

Copies of the information collection packages listed above can be obtained by calling the OS Reports Clearance Officer on (202) 619–0511. Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent directly to the OMB disk officer designated above at the following address: OMB Reports Management Branch, New Executive Office Building, Room 3208, Washington, D.C. 20503.

Dated: January 9, 1995.

Dennis P. Williams,

Deputy Assistant Secretary, Budget. [FR Doc. 94–1163 Filed 1–19–94; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4150–04–M

Notice of a Regional Public Hearing of the Commission on Research Integrity

Pursuant to Pub. L. 92–463, notice is hereby given of a public hearing and meeting, respectively, of the Commission on Research Integrity on Thursday and Friday, February 9 and 10, 1995, from 9:00 a.m. to 5 p.m. at University of California-San Francisco in the auditorium of the Laurel Heights Conference Center at 3333 California Street, San Francisco, CA 94118. The sessions will be open to the public. Interested parties are advised to call the Executive Secretary shortly before the meeting to verify the date, place, and agenda.

The mandate of the Commission is to develop recommendations for the Secretary of the Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS) and the Congress on the administration of Section 493 of the Public Health Service Act, as amended by and added to, by Section 161 of the NIH Revitalization Act of 1993.

It has become increasingly clear to the Commission that the current DHHS and institutional oversight of research integrity deserves serious attention. Also, the Commission has confirmed that there are no quick and easy answers as it searches for fair, effective, and realistic administrative solutions to these issues. Therefore, an essential component of the Commission's information-gathering is to interact extensively with all relevant constituencies of the scientific community-including junior and senior scientists, witnesses, respondents, and academic administrators—to understand their particular experiences and perspectives and to explore possible improvements.

Three major areas are currently of great interest to the Commission:

1. A New Definition of Research Misconduct. The Commission believes that any definition needs to address the full extent of serious research misconduct, but must avoid a definition that is too broad, vague, and potentially unfair. In addition, a two-tiered approach for research integrity, and failures thereof, would be useful; it would emphasize institutional responsibility, and reserve an oversight role for the Federal Government.

2. Assurance for Institutions and Accountability for Federally-Funded

Research. The Commission is considering that each institution receiving Federal funds develop and submit for Federal review and approval assurances concerning the establishment and implementation of: (a) good research practices and professional norms; (b) procedures for disseminating that information throughout its community; and (c) educational activities designed to foster practice of the highest ethical standards in the conduct of research with particular emphasis on beginning researchers. Topics affecting good research practices that might be addressed in institutional assurances include: data recording and retention; supervisory responsibility; authorship practices; protection of witnesses; and other professional conduct bearing directly on the integrity of Federallysupported research.

3. Bill of Rights for Witnesses.
Testimony from witnesses (also called "whistleblowers") who had challenged perceived research misconduct reaffirms the Commission's mandate to propose effective whistleblower protection rules. Witnesses stated that retaliation occurs with sufficient frequency to have a chilling effect on potential witnesses throughout the research community. The Commission is considering a Witness Bill of Rights and procedures for its implementation.

The Commission will also continue its discussion of other issues on which the Commission is planning to make recommendations.

Lengthy statements from witnesses exceeding the 10 or 15 minutes of oral presentation may be submitted in writing to the Executive Secretary before or at the meeting. Each statement will be reviewed by Commission Members.

Henrietta D. Hyatt-Knorr, Executive Secretary, Commission on Research Integrity, at Rockwall II, Suite 700, 5515 Security Lane, Rockville, MD 20852, (301) 443–5300 or (301) 443–9369 (voice mail), will furnish the meeting agenda, the Committee charter, and a roster of the Committee members upon request. Members of the public wishing to make presentations should contact the Executive Secretary. Depending on the number of presentations and other considerations, the Executive Secretary will allocate a reasonable timeframe for each speaker.

Henrietta D. Hyatt-Knorr,

Executive Secretary, Commission on Research Integrity.

[FR Doc. 95–1381 Filed 1–19–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4160–17–P–M